

New Central European countries, structural funds for sustainable energy, present and future

Main obstacles and opportunities

Mariangiola Fabbri WWF EPO Climate Change and Energy Unit

15 June 2005, Brussels - INFORSE-EUFORES-EREF Policy Seminar





- Main funding needs
- How to use EU funding for environment?
- How to recognise funding possibilities?
- Intervention: Where/when?
- How to support EE & RES?
- Conclusion





- Full <u>potential is not exploited</u>: low and fragmented financial support to energy related measures and projects.
- No significant funding received from SF or cohesion fund programmes so far, but huge potential, promising technologies and many possibilities for 2007-2013 funding





Main funding needs

- 3 major categories:
- 1- Framework for management and administration (establishment of policy and administrative structures, capacity building for administration)
- 2- Operation and monitoring (site/operation specific, ongoing management and related costs)
- 3- Infrastructure (installation or improvement/renewal of existing infrastructure)





- Key: inclusion and integration of environmental priorities
 OPTION for MS
- Many possibilities in regulation proposed for 2007-2013 period, but final decision depends on programming at national and sub-national level
- 2007-2013 only Life+ for environment, key is integration of environmental aspects into major funding→ Main funding instruments for EE&RES: Cohesion Funds, the ERDF (European regional development fund)





- Important to assess funding possibilities in all proposed areas and instruments → update information !!!
- Environmental actors' involvement and active participation crucial: decisions are being made now
 - \rightarrow many opportunities





Intervention: Where/when?

- Community strategic guidelines (Commission) → influence?
- National strategic reference framework (MS) → basis for planned environmental funding in Operational Programmes (description of situation, objectives & priorities)
- Operational Programmes (MS or region) most important phase of programming process → define which environmental options are available in MS or regions. Description of priorities, management and financial sources





Intervention: Where/when?

Where?

- Intervention at national and sub-national level: MS responsible for planning and managing intervention→ main influence
 - Regulation only offers options, not obligations
 - National framework determines programming process
 - National co-financing necessary and other principles (partnership, subsidiarity etc) may be limiting factor
 - Rules for eligibility
 - Content of development plans & priority setting





Intervention: Where/when?

When?

Summer 2005: adoption regulation and decision on FP Autumn/end 2005: adoption Strategic guidelines Spring 2006: decision on national strategic framework End 2006: final decision on Operational Programmes

Programming should begin after EU regulation is decided, but in reality planning processes at different levels are usually carried out in parallel! \rightarrow early involvement crucial





How to support energy efficiency & renewable energy

- Energy efficiency and renewable energy as <u>clear priority</u>
- Energy objectives must be <u>horizontally integrated</u> (e.g. fiscal policy, industry and transport policy, cohesion policy)
- Establish specific programmes
- <u>New technologies</u> must be encouraged and financed
- Inter-regional projects to <u>transfer know-how</u>
- Increase <u>public awareness</u> (promotion of energy saving and rational use of energy)
- Promote <u>energy saving activities for SMEs</u> and public entities
- Promote public procurement





Conclusion

- Commission's guidelines 2007-2013 must recognise energy efficiency and renewable energy as a priority
- Environmental interests to be integrated into "nonenvironmental-areas" (i.e. cohesion policy)
- Environmental actors must be actively involved from the beginning → knowledge of institutional framework and time frame
- Participation at national and regional level →careful planning, realistic objectives





Conclusion

- No right way: bring together past experience, expert knowledge, good ideas
- Do not propose "what Commission likes"→ Commission likes good projects
- EU funds cover almost every area: try to find which fund suits you best (financial framework) in order to promote your projects





WWF handbook on EU funding for Environment available at:

- <u>http://www.panda.org/downloads/europe/eufundingforenvir</u> <u>onmentweb.pdf</u>
- WWF EPO: www.panda.org/epo

thank you for your attention

